## Graph Rewriting Techniques for Modeling AOP Semanticsinfluence on software evolution

Alon Amsel @ EVA 03/05/2004



# **Presentation Overview**

- Motivation
- Model Overview
  - Base program representation
  - Advice
  - Pointcuts
  - Weaving
- Evolution
  - Aspect-oriented refactoring
  - Pointcut evolution



Alon Amsel

# Motivation

Need of Formal Models

- Understandability
- Comprehensivity
- Predictability
- Clean semantics
- AOP supporting tools
  - Support for refactorings
  - Verification
- $\prod / \lambda$  calculus are fine but ...
  - Advantages of visual languages

3

#### [Heckel, Engels]

#### Overview of the Model

Dynamic Program Representation

- Based on Graph (Rewriting) semantics for Object Orientation [Grogono,...]
- GR-based advice
- GR based pointcut matching
- GR based weaving [Aßmann]



Alon Amsel



# Object Orientation & Graph Representation



## Object Orientation and GRS (continued) Methods = rewriting rules

Program is specified by a set of graph rewriting rules



#### Advice: Spectative Aspects [Katz]

- Before and After in Pairs
- Each specifies a rule
- Aspect Node
- Connect to call node
- Aspect View :
  - call node
  - Aspect node
  - Aspect data
  - Edges connecting them



#### Advice: Invasive/Modifying Aspects

 Aspect View : direct neighbors of Aspect Node + edges
 Connect aspect node to all objects it interacts directly with





# After Advice (Updating Rule)

Subgraph of Left Hand Side of every updating rule



Includes around advice To be composed with base program



Alon Amsel

# So far...

Base Program

Set of rewriting rules

Aspects

Set of (pairs of) rewriting rules

What next?

Which rules to combine
How to combine them

# Pointcuts

Pointcuts are specified graphically
Each *pointcut graph* has same structure as in BP-rules
Wildcards allowed
Pair of pointcut graphs specify *pointcut rule*

# **Pointcut Matching**

Pattern matching
Pointcuts match rules, not graphs!
After the matching:

Aspect Node connects to corresponding call nodes
Rules are now ready to be instrumented

## Example pointcut rule



## **Expressiveness of Pointcut Rules**

AspectJ's pointcuts

 call (method name & return type)
 within,args,target
 execution not fully defined yet
 > low level statically determinable pointcuts





# **Dynamic Pointcut Matching?**

 Rule Application part of larger configuration graph
 Rule definitions have to be bypassed



## Weaving = Synchronous Composition of Rewriting Rules

- Base Program
- Advice
- Overlap => all rules to be applied simultaneously
- Gluing Rules over an Interface
- Weaving more aspects: in turn on top of BP







# Model Implementation

Graph Rewriting Tool ATOM<sup>3</sup> [de Lara]

- A Tool for Multiformalism Meta-Modeling
- +Intended for simulation of dynamical systems
- +Allows definition of own diagrams, metamodels and transformations
- -Not very stable (version 2.2)
- -Python programming language
- Still buggy implementation
- No Aspect Code Generation yet



# Aspect-Oriented Refactoring: two topics in software evolution

Extracting code into an aspect / improving the aspect structure

Modifying code into which aspects are woven

Satisfactory pointcut declaration



## Are Pointcut Graphs Satisfactory?

Refactoring -> do pointcuts still include the desired join points?
Depending on how well they are used
+expressive and intuitive
Pull-up method AspectJ problem



# Pull-up method Problem [Barzilay]



[Van Eetvelde]

AspectJ Pointcut: call(void B.f())

Alon Amsel

## References

- Aβmann, Ludwig: Aspect weaving by graph rewriting
- Barzilay et al: Call and execution semantics in AspectJ
- Grogono: Graph semantics for OOP
- Heckel, Engels: Graph Transformation and Visual Modeling Techniques
- Katz: Aspects and Superimposition
- Van Eetvelde, Janssens: A Hierarchical Program Representation for Refactoring

